



# Workshop risk identification Module V - Scenario analysis

**LES 2, Kutaisi  
29th – 31st May, 2018**

**Disclaimer:**

The content of this presentation represents the views of the author only and is his/her sole responsibility. The European Commission does not accept any responsibility for use that may be made of the information it contains.





# Introduction



# Introduction

**As a focal point, your role is not to become an expert in the science behind each one of the hazards you are considering**

**Instead your role is more about quality assurance and comparability**



# Introduction

**What are the sources of the information you are being presented with?**

**How much evidence is there behind each statement from the experts and how much just judgement?**

**What are the uncertainties behind the information being presented?**





# Practical exercise



# Sources of information

- Each subgroup (one for Kutaisi, one for Ararat) will randomly draw 4 impact criteria from the bag
- Each group will then take one of the selected hazards from the previous exercise and will discuss the following:
  - What stakeholders/experts could contribute to the scoring of that criterion for that example hazard?
  - What information sources are available/needed to substantiate the scoring
- These two questions will be reported on a flipover by a spokesperson from your team